UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,609	05/25/2006	Kohachi Tsuji	1207-133	4986
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 08/11/201 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	WAITS, ALAN B		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3656	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/11/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/580,609	TSUJI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ALAN B. WAITS	3656			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 A</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under A	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1 and 12-22 is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1 and 12-22 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examine 10) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 May 2006 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	er.  In accepted or b)⊠ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 3656

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "the taper surface extending in the axial direction so as to be flat or **convex**" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 3656

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is written in generally narrative form making it unclear which elements/steps are being positively recited and which should be given patentable weight. The examiner suggests rewriting the claims in accordance with 37 CFR 1.75(i) so as to make clear what elements/steps are being positively recited and what should be given patentable weight. As written the claims are unclear and indefinite.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1 and 12-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hiuga JP 59-212508 in view of Nakamaru USP 5732322 and in further view of Debnam USP 5927862 and Picone USP 6250815.

Hiuga disclose a similar device comprising:

#### Re clm 1

 A cylindrical bush bearing (fig 3) whose inner peripheral surface is a sliding surface (3, fig 1)

Art Unit: 3656

 An outer peripheral surface of the bush bearing has a cylindrical surface (fig 3)

• A tapered surface (6, fig 1) interposed between the cylindrical surface and at least one annular axial end face (right end, fig 3) of the bush bearing

#### The limitation:

formed by press forming

is a product-by-process limitation. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). See MPEP 2113.

A difference δ (=r1 -r2) between a radius r1 of the bush bearing at the
cylindrical surface of the bush bearing and a radius r2 of the one annular
end face at an outer peripheral edge of the one annular end face (fig 1)

Hiuga does not explicitly disclose:

A difference δ (=r1 -r2) between a radius r1 of the bush bearing at the
cylindrical surface of the bush bearing and a radius r2 of the one annular
end face at an outer peripheral edge of the one annular end face is in a
range of not less than 0.1t and not more than 0.3t, where t is a wall
thickness of the bush bearing at the cylindrical surface of the bush bearing

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Hiuga and provide:

 A difference δ (=r1 -r2) between a radius r1 of the bush bearing at the cylindrical surface of the bush bearing and a radius r2 of the one annular

Art Unit: 3656

end face at an outer peripheral edge of the one annular end face is in a range of not less than 0.1t and not more than 0.3t, where t is a wall thickness of the bush bearing at the cylindrical surface of the bush bearing since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

## Hiuga further discloses:

- The tapered surface extends in an axial direction (to the left, fig 3)
   continuously from the one annular end face
- The cylindrical surface extends continuously in the axial direction from the tapered surface toward another axial end face (left end, fig 3) of the bush bearing
- The bush bearing being constituted by a wrapped bush bearing in which a
  plate (1, fig 1) having the sliding surface on one surface thereof is
  convoluted into a cylindrical shape such that the sliding surface is
  positioned on the inner peripheral side (fig 3)
- A portion of said layer which includes said synthetic resin (3, fig 1) being formed on one surface of the porous sintered metal layer (2, fig 1)
- The wrapped bush bearing is formed by convoluting the multilayered plate into the cylindrical shape such that the sliding layer is positioned on the inner peripheral side (fig 3)

Art Unit: 3656

 The tapered surface extending in the axial direction between the cylindrical surface and the one annular end face so as to be flat or convex toward an outside (fig 1)

 An angle of intersection, θ, between the tapered surface and an axial line being not less than 15° and not more than 25° (pg 50, col 1, ln 24)

# Hiuga does not disclose

- The plate being constituted by a multilayered plate which includes a back plate entirely coated with copper
- A porous sintered metal layer adhered integrally to a copper coating layer on one surface of the back plate
- A sliding layer including a synthetic resin with which the porous sintered metal layer is impregnated, which has self-lubricity and wear resistance

#### Nakamaru teaches:

- The plate being constituted by a multilayered plate (fig 1) which includes a
  back plate (1, fig 1) entirely coated with copper (Col 6, In 26-30)
- A porous sintered metal layer (col 6, In 36-37) adhered integrally to a copper coating layer on one surface of the back plate
- A sliding layer including a synthetic resin (3, fig 1) with which the porous sintered metal layer is impregnated, which has self-lubricity and wear resistance

for the purpose of providing a bearing with improved corrosion resistance (col 6, ln 28-30).

Art Unit: 3656

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Hiuga and provide:

The plate being constituted by a multilayered plate which includes a back
 plate entirely coated with copper

- A porous sintered metal layer adhered integrally to a copper coating layer on one surface of the back plate
- A sliding layer including a synthetic resin with which the porous sintered
  metal layer is impregnated, which has self-lubricity and wear resistance
  as taught by Nakamaru, for the purpose of providing a bearing capable of exhibiting a
  stable low friction coefficient and an extremely low wear amount under dry frictional
  condition.

Hiuga in view of Nakamaru further disclose:

 The cylindrical surface, the tapered surface and the on annular end face being constituted by an exposed surface of the copper coating layer (fig 3, Hiuga; col 6, ln 27-30, Nakamaru)

Hiuga in view of Nakamaru does not disclose:

- A first smooth circular arc surface being interposed between the tapered surface and the cylindrical surface
- A second smooth circular arc surface being interposed between the tapered surface and the one annular end face

Art Unit: 3656

Debnam teaches a bearing (fig 6) and housing (18, fig 4) arrangement comprising rounding corners of a bearing bush (B, fig 6) for the purpose of preventing large shear stress discontinuity caused by sharp corners.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Hiuga in view of Nakamaru and provide:

- A first smooth circular arc surface being interposed between the tapered surface and the cylindrical surface
- A second smooth circular arc surface being interposed between the tapered surface and the one annular end face

for the purpose of preventing large shear stress discontinuity caused by sharp corners.

Debnam does not disclose:

- a radius of curvature (of the first smooth arc surface) which is not less than 0.1 mm and not more than 1.0 mm
- a radius of curvature (of the second smooth arc surface) which is not less
   than 0.1 and not more than 0.5 mm

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Hiuga in view of Nakamaru and in further view of Debnam to provide:

- a radius of curvature (of the first smooth arc surface) which is not less
   than 0.1 mm and not more than 1.0 mm
- a radius of curvature (of the second smooth arc surface) which is not less
   than 0.1 and not more than 0.5 mm

since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Debnam's bearing and housing arrangement further comprises:

the outer peripheral edge of the annular end face having a smaller
 diameter than a diameter of a hole of the housing (col 3, ln 20-22, 43)

Hiuga in view of Nakamaru and in further view of Debnam is silent as to the material which is used for the housing and how the bearing is installed into the housing.

As Picone discloses in the background, a bearing and housing arrangement having an aluminum bearing housing (col 1, ln 39) and the bearing is press fitted into the housing (col 1, ln 29-30) is well known in the art.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Hiuga in view of Nakamaru and further in view of Debnam to provide:

 an aluminum-made housing in which the bush bearing is press fitted for the purpose of providing a light and strong housing and a way to cheaply fix a bearing into a housing.

#### Re clm 12 and 21

The limitation:

the tapered surface is formed by roll forming

is a product-by-process limitation. See above regarding product-by-process limitations.

Re clm 13, Hiuga further discloses

Art Unit: 3656

 the peripheral surface of the bush bearing further has, in addition to the tapered surface interposed between the cylindrical surface and the one annular end face, another tapered surface (left side, fig 3) interposed between the cylindrical surface and the other annular axial end face of the bush bearing

### Re clm 14, Hiuga further discloses

- the other tapered surface extends in the axial direction continuously from the other annular end face (fig 3)
- the cylindrical surface extends in the axial direction from the other tapered surface toward the one axial end face of the bush bearing (fig 3)

### **Re clm 15**, Hiuga further discloses

 the other tapered surface extends in the axial direction between the cylindrical surface and the other annular end face so as to be flat or convex toward the outside (fig 3)

### Re clm 16 and 18, Hiuga does not disclose

- a smooth circular arc surface is interposed between the other tapered surface (one of two tapered ends, fig 3; Hiuga) and the cylindrical surface
- a smooth circular arc surface is interposed between the other tapered surface and the outer annular end face (1, fig 3)

Debnam teaches a bearing (fig 6) and housing (18, fig 4) arrangement comprising rounding corners of a bearing bush (B, fig 6) for the purpose of preventing large shear stress discontinuity caused by sharp corners.

Art Unit: 3656

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Hiuga in view of Nakamaru and provide:

 a smooth circular arc surface is interposed between the other tapered surface and the cylindrical surface

 a smooth circular arc surface is interposed between the other tapered surface and the outer annular end face

for the purpose of preventing large shear stress discontinuity caused by sharp corners.

#### Re clm 17 and 19

Debnam does not disclose:

a radius of curvature (of the first smooth arc surface) which is not less
 than 0.1 mm and not more than 1.0 mm

 a radius of curvature (of the second smooth arc surface) which is not less than 0.1 and not more than 0.5 mm

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Hiuga in view of Nakamaru and in further view of Debnam to provide:

- a radius of curvature (of the first smooth arc surface) which is not less
   than 0.1 mm and not more than 1.0 mm
- a radius of curvature (of the second smooth arc surface) which is not less
   than 0.1 and not more than 0.5 mm

since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Re clm 20, Hiuga further disclsoes

 An angle of intersection, θ, between the other tapered surface and the axial line being not less than 15° and not more than 25° (pg 50, col 1, In 24)

Re clm 22, Hiuga in view of Nakamaru further discloses:

 The other tapered surface is constituted by an exposed surface of the copper coating layer (fig 3, Hiuga; col 6, In 27-30, Nakamaru)

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 12-22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALAN B. WAITS whose telephone number is (571)270-3664. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30 am to 5 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley can be reached on 571-272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3656

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alan B Waits/ Examiner, Art Unit 3656

/Richard WL Ridley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3656